JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM **IDENTIFICATION FORM**

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY:

FBI '

RECORD NUMBER:

124-10185-10098

RECORD SERIES:

HO

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 62-116395-386

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: FBI

FROM:

SULLIVAN, W. C.

<u>'TO:</u>

DELOACH, C. D.

TITLE:

DATE: 03/07/1970

PAGES:

15

SUBJECT:

CC, CIA ACT, CP, LHO, TRA, WIRETAPPING, CASTRO, ACT

DOCUMENT TYPE:

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

ORIGINAL-

Secret

NEW

CLASSIFICATION:

CLASSIFICATION:

REVIEW DATE:

10/30/1998

UPDATE DATE:

02/12/2001

STATUS

Redact

RESTRICTIONS:

JFK Act 6 (1)(B)

JFK Act 6 (1)(C)

COMMENTS:

INC 3 MEMO, LIST, 329 OF 344 PAGES NAR

_ J. A. Mintz (J. B. Hotis)

July 10, 1975

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. R. I. Moore

The Attorney General

Mrector, PPI

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

By letter dated May 14, 1975, with attached appendices, the SSC requested certain information and documents from the FBI.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee are the original of a memorandum and enclosures which serve as a response to two of the SSC requests.

A copy of this memorandum with enclosures is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (40)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: K. William O'Connor Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

RLM:mam

3 JUL 21 1975

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

ZFROOM (V)

NW 50953 DocId:32176432 Page 2

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

SECRET

The Director

DATE: 3/13/70

FROM

SA Sam Papich

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO **DECLASSIFICATE** RELEASE OF COMMINICURMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT. AS SAMITIZED GMB 6-22-94

SUBJECT RELATIONS WITH CIA.

Reference is made to my letters dated March 2 and March 5, 1970. In my letter of March 5, 1970, I stated "it is important to emphasize that the Bureau can also produce an extensive list of justified grievances." It is my understanding that the Director desired that this list be identified. Enclosed herewith is a list of approximately 75 items.

This list should not be considered absolutely complete, Preparation was predicated on my personal recollection and a review of Bureau records. To make this list more complete and specifically accurate would necessitate the review of thousands of files. The enclosed list can be supported by Bureau records. What CIA records reflect on the same items is unknown. This also must be kept in mind in connection with our evaluation of the alleged CIA grievances which I previously listed.

I realize that it is presumptuous on my part, but if the Director feels that our Bureau work can benefit by a personal discussion between the Director and myself, I am available until April 3, 1970. I plan to leave the area immediately thereafter for an extended period.

ACTION:

For information.

6-24-94 Classified by Sizk Michigan no vizzelaeC

Enclosure

ALL INFORMATION CONTARREN CLASSIFIED WHERE SHOWS

u i menwise :

Classified by 13 Exempt from CDS Category 2 63 of Declarific pn Indefinite

CERFI

	possible hostage situation in his native country. The Bureau was following this potential defection and pursuant to estab-					
	lished procedures was keeping interested agencies apprised of developments. On September 15, 1958, we received information					
	indicating that another Government agency was conducting an					
	investigation of the subject. It was later established that					
	CIA was the other agency. (Re Bureau file (S)					
-	105-64024) (5)					
•	39. CIA ACTIVITIES IN (S)					
	39. CIA ACTIVITIES[IN					
	The Legal Attache, Tokyo, reported by letter dated					
_	September 22. 1958. that					
L	was a paid, highly regarded, and very sensitive source					
1	of CIA. This information was given to the Legal Attache by G2 Head in Japan. According to					
Ļ	CIA did not want this information to be known to other agencies,					
	particularly the FBI. The Director's notation was, "Some more of CIA double dealing. H." (Letter from Legat, Tokyo, dated					
	of CIA double dealing. H." (Letter from Legat, Tokyo, dated					
	September 22, 1958, "Investigations in Hong Kong and Manila,					
	Philippines")					
	40. ALLEGED CIA INCOMPETENCE					
	During the period October 20-25, 1958, Bureau					
	representatives attended a seminar at Orlando, Florida, which					
was given by the U.S. Air Force. Among the activities was a lecture given by Subsequent to the						
	Bureau representatives and expressed his displeasure with the briefing given by He was particularly critical of					
	reluctance to furnish certain information, using the (S)					
	excuse that the matter was of a "Top Secret" nature. General					
	Young stated that the position taken by was only an(s)					
	excuse for incompetence on the part of CIA.					
	This item is being cited in the event we desire to					
	use the foregoing as evidence to support a position that we were					
	obliged to be circumspect in dealing with CIA. (Memorandum					
	October 28, 1958, Roach to Belmont, "Joint Strategic Planning					

41. CIA COVERAGE IN CUBA PRIOR TO OVERTHROW OF BATISTA GOVERNMENT

Seminar, Orlando Air Force Base, Orlando, Florida, October 20-25,

The overthrow of the Batista Government on January 1, 1959, and the subsequent assumption of power by Castro raised questions concerning the efficiency and competence of U.S. intelligence. Allen Dulles indicated that future developments would

_ 14 -

1958")

SECRET

show that many more people were involved in the Castro organization than the U.S. Government had realized. Information coming to our attention suggested the possibility that both State and CIA had failed to assess developments in Cuba properly.

The foregoing is cited in the event that we found reason to question the competency of IA in Cuba. This could be useful if we wanted to justify the existence of a Legal Attache office in Havana. One could also comment that poor coverage in Cuba had an indirect and adverse effect on our operations in the United States.

By letter dated April 25, 1959, we voiced our objections to CIA for giving guidance to an individual with whom we had been maintaining contact for the purpose of developing him as a double agent. The individual involved was a well-known expert in the field of medical research as it applied to space flying. also a contract agent of CIA and had occasion to handle sensitive matters for that Agency. In April, [1959, [(was preparing to make a trip to Moscow. CIA briefed him on matters as they applied to his trip. The Agency also interviewed him concerning his relationship with the subject in Washington, D. C., and, furthermore, gave him guidance concerning the relationship. We objected to CIA giving any guidance to concerning S) his contacts with the subject without first consulting with us. Bureau file

43. ALLEGED BELITTLING OF COMMUNISM BY ALLEN DULLES

In July, 1959, Allen Dulles of CIA spoke at the National Strategy Seminar of the National War College. One of the professors handling the Seminar was critical of Dulles. He claimed that Dulles had belittled the importance of the communist problem.

The above is being cited in the event we desire to utilize the information in justifying a position that it was necessary to be circumspect with CIA. (Memorandum W. C. Sullivan to Belmont, August 14, 1969, "National Strategy Seminar, National War College, July, 1959")

44. "TRUE" MAGAZINE ARTICLE - SEPTEMBER, 1959

In September, 1959, "True" magazine carried an article captioned "Allen Dulles: America's Global Sherlock," which included information of a derogatory nature concerning the Director and the Bureau. The article precipitated a crisis

- is - 'SECRET

On February 7, 1962, Colonel Sheffield Edwards. Director of Security, CIA, informed the Liaison Agent that CIA was preparing a report containing extremely sensitive information. He stated that this information came from a sensitive source and he was not certain as to how it should be handled. As a result of a discussion with Edwards on February 26, 1962, it was ascertained that CIA had maintained over an extended period. (5) a technical surveillance on Edwards explained that he had been reluctant to identify this source at an earlier date because he feared that prosecution could have been jeopardized and, furthermore, he did not want his Agency embarrassed in the event the Bureau objected to CIA maintaining a capability such as technical surveillances. It was made emphatically clear to Edwards that it was absolutely necessary that we be provided with all the details and, furthermore, that CIA, at the outset, should have apprised us of the existence of the coverage. The Director made the notation, "I only wish we would eventually realize CIA can never be depended upon to deal forthrightly with us. Certainly my skepticism isn't based on prejudice nor suspicion, but on specific instances of all too many in number. Yet, there exists wistful belief that the 'leopard has changed his spots.' H." (Memorandum Branigan to Sullivan February 27, 1962, "Unknown Subject; KGB Agent Known as 'Sasha'")

In February, 1962, the Liaison Agent was requested to discuss with CIA a case which, in our opinion, clearly indicated CIA had failed to keep us appropriately informed of developments. The Bureau's original interest was initiated in Miami as a result of a discussion with CIA personnel in that city. Attempts to get CIA replies via correspondence were negative. On February 13, 1962, the Liaison Agent discussed the matter with CIA and received a reply which did not adequately satisfy the Bureau's request. (Memorandum Donahoe to Sullivan, February 27, 1962, and Brennan to Sullivan, March 2, 1962; Bureau file

57. CIA WIRE TAPPING IN THE UNITED STATES

Sometime prior to the Bay of Pigs fiasco, CIA had become involved in a weird plan designed to bring about the assassination of Fidel Castro. One of the principal ingredients of this plan was to be the utilization of U.S. hoodlums. CIA established contact with Robert Maheu, former Bureau Agent, who served as the intermediary in dealings with the notorious hoodlum, Sam Giancana.

SECRET

56.

SECRE

The entire operation fell apart when we developed information indicating that Maheu was behind a wire tapping operation in Nevada. Potentially, there were elements for possible violation of unauthorized publication or use of communications. However, prosecution was out of the question because of the tainted involvement of CIA. (Arthur James Balletti, "Unauthorized Publication or Use of Communications" and memorandum from the Director to Mr. Tolson, dated May 10, 1962)

58.		3			
Miami area Arrangementhe matter	he Agency in: a and in so o nts were subs r was turned	1962, we lod itiated opera doing violate sequently eff over to the	tion of C d Bureau ected whe Bureau fo	uban agen jurisdict re the so r <u>handlin</u>	ts in the ion. urce in
randum Bro	ennan to Sul	livan, Octobe	r 29, 196	2,	
	(3)				,
59.					

on April 23, 1963. CIA requested that the Bureau establish coverage on a visiting Panamanian national. We immediately instituted investigation and then determined that CIA actually had been instrumental in supporting the subject's trip to the United States. CIA had been endeavoring to recruit the subject. On April 29, 1963, a strong protest was lodged with General Carter, Deputy Director of CIA. (Memorandum Brennan to Sullivan, April 26, 1963,

60. ALLEGED ATTACK ON BUREAU BY JOHN McCONE

We received information in December, 1963, indicating that John McCone, Director of CIA, allegedly was attacking the Bureau in what would appear to be a vicious and underhanded manner. McCone allegedly informed Congressman Jerry Ford and Drew Pearson that CIA had uncovered a plot in Mexico City indicating that Lee Harvey Oswald had received \$6,500 to assassinate President Kennedy. The story attributed to McCone appeared to be related to information which had come from one Gilberto Alvarado, a Nicaraguan national. Interrogation of Alvarado, including a polygraph, disclosed that he had fabricated his story. This had been made known to CIA and to McCone. fore, if McCone had made the above statements to Ford and Pearson, it would appear that it would have been an obvious attempt to ridicule the Bureau. The Liaison Agent contacted McCone on December 23, 1963. McCone vehemently denied the allegations. (Memorandum Brennan to Sullivan, December 23, 1963, "Relations

SECREI

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Aemorandum

TO Mr. C. D. DeLoach

3/7/70 DATE:

FROM : W. C. Sullivan

SUBJECT: RELATIONSHIPS WITH CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) BUREAU OPERATIONS IN CUBA

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR. RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT. AS SANITIZED GMB 5-31-94 (508 MAE /GCL) 6-2-94

Item number eleven in the material submitted to the Director by SA Sam Papich in his memorandum 3/5/70 states that we operated informants in Cuba during the period we had a Legat Office in Havana and did not coordinate our operations with CIA or advise it we had sources there. It was noted that after Castro came on the scene, approval was granted to turn certain informants over to CIA. Papich also refers to a memorandum NAME to NAME , 2/5/60, regarding the Communist Party of Cuba (CPC) which dealt with the problem of whether a Havana source Mused in an intercept operation between the and the CPC should be (5) turned over to CIA to obtain complete coverage. We, of course, had no coverage of Bureau had not advised other agencies of this source since we did not want Castro to uncover any operational activities which might embarrass the Bureau. The entire operation was later turned over to CIA.

CIA began its operations in Havana in 4/47 and in a letter to the Bureau, 4/28/53, regarding Havana informants. NAME noted that CIA was not overly cooperative and that, in fact, it was not developing pertinent information. At that time NAME met with the CIA representative in Havana who admitted he was not getting any information concerning the CPC and had no plans for any aggressive action in that field. [For this reason it was necessary for us to develop our own coverage. We instructed NAME to ascertain from the Havana CIA representative information available to him concerning matters of interest to the Bureau; however, he was to continue through informant sources to obtain needed information regarding security matters which could not be supplied by CIA. Subsequently, our relations with CIA improved to the point of being described as excellent in 1958. We think our overall position to be sound. 6-2-94 Classified by <u>SP8 MAC/6-CL</u>

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Declassify on: OADR None. We do not believe, in light of the facts set forth, that CIA will make an issue of this matter NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

1 - Mr. DeLoach 1 - Mr. Sullivan

TO

Mr. C. D. DeLoach

DATE: 3/7/70

FROM : W. C. Sullivan

SERRET

l - Liaison

1 - Mr. Flemister

Mohr Sicher Watters
Mohr Bishop Casper Callebon Conred
Folt Gale Rosen Sullivan Tavel Soyars Tele. Room Holmes

AGENCY (CIA)
BUREAU OPERATIONS IN CUBA

Item number eleven in the mate
Director by SA Sam Papich in his memoral
we operated informants in Cuba during the
Legat Office in Havana and did not coord
with CIA or advise it we had sources the
after Castro came on the scene, approval
certain informants over to CIA. Panich

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR,
RELEASE OF CHAINFORMATION
IN THIS DUCUMENTAS SANITIZED
GMB FAILU (SP8 MAC GCL - 64 MM - 3FE)

Item number eleven in the material submitted to the Director by SA Sam Papich in his memorandum 3/5/70 states that we operated informants in Cuba during the period we had a Legat Office in Havana and did not coordinate our operations with CIA or advise it we had sources there. It was noted that after Castro came on the scene, approval was granted to turn certain informants over to CIA. Papich also refers to a memorandum Donahoe to Mr. Belmont, 2/5/60, regarding the Communist Party of Cuba (CPC) which dealt with the problem of whether a Havana source used in an intercept operation between the and the CPC should be turned over to CIA to obtain complete coverage. We, of course. had no coverage of Bureau had not advised other agencies of this source since we did not want Castro to uncover any operational activities which might embarrass the Bureau. The entire operation was later turned over to CIA.

CIA began its operations in Havana in 4/47 and in a letter to the Bureau, 4/28/53, regarding Havana informants, the Legat noted that CIA was not overly cooperative and that, in fact, it was not developing pertinent information. At that time Legat met with the CIA representative in Havana who admitted he was not getting any information concerning the CPC and had no plans for any aggressive action in that field. For this reason it was necessary for us to develop our own coverage. We instructed the Legat to ascertain from the Havana CIA representative information available to him concerning matters of interest to the Bureau; however, he was to continue through informant sources to obtain needed information regarding security matters which could not be supplied by CIA. Subsequently, our relations with CIA improved to the point of being described as excellent in 1958. We think our overall position to be sound.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

None. We do not believe, in light of the facts set forth,

HCF:bsf/mst

(5) mod

-5

SECRET

シス

NW 50953 DocId:32176432 Page 9

Classified by Spandeger